'My Life is like the Summer Rose' Maurizio Tosi e l'Archeologia come modo di vivere

Papers in honour of Maurizio Tosi for his 70th birthday

Editors in chief

C. C. Lamberg-Karlovsky B. Genito

Editor

B. Cerasetti



Published by

Archaeopress
Publishers of British Archaeological Reports
Gordon House
276 Banbury Road
Oxford OX2 7ED
England
bar@archaeopress.com
www.archaeopress.com

BAR S2690

'My Life is like the Summer Rose', Maurizio Tosi e l'Archeologia come modo di vivere: Papers in honour of Maurizio Tosi for his 70th birthday

© Archaeopress and the individual authors 2014

ISBN 978 1 4073 1326 9

Printed in England by CMP (UK) Ltd

All BAR titles are available from:

Hadrian Books Ltd 122 Banbury Road Oxford OX2 7BP England www.hadrianbooks.co.uk

The current BAR catalogue with details of all titles in print, prices and means of payment is available free from Hadrian Books or may be downloaded from www.archaeopress.com

Table of Conteins

Ed	litors' Notes	xii
Pro	eface	xiii
1.	G.E. AFANAS'EV, D.S. KOROBOV The Ash-Tigors' Granaries and Palaeo-climate of 7-12 th centuries AD in the North Caucasus	1
2.	V. ARDESIA Il villaggio protostorico di Mursìa sull'isola di Pantelleria: breve resoconto di 10 anni di indagini archeologiche	17
3.	B.E. BARICH Investigating the Desert - From Territory to Site for the Study of Social Patterning in the Egyptian Sahara	23
4.	M. BELOGI, E. LEONI Il 'Generale' Maurizio	29
5.	G.L. BONORA An Updated Report on Prehistoric Human Settlement in the Syr-darya Alluvial Plain	31
6.	M. BUTTINO Samarkand tra emigrazione e ristrutturazione urbana, un patrimonio culturale che si perde	47
7.	P. CALLIERI Margiana in the Hellenistic Period: again on Problems of Archaeological Interpretation	59
8.	S. CAMARA Recherches archéologiques dans la Vallee du Sankaran: les tumulus Pierriers de Guaguala (Commune de Siekorole, Mali)	63
9.	S. CAMPANA Archaeological Impact Assessment: The BREBEMI Project (Italy)	75
10	. P.F. CASSOLI, M. GALA, A. TAGLIACOZZO Gli uccelli di Shahr-i Sokhta (Sistan, Iran): nuovi dati ecologici e paleoeconomici	83
11	. B. CERASETTI, G.B. CODINI, L.M. ROUSE Walking in the Murghab Alluvial Fan (Southern Turkmenistan): an Integrated Approach between Old and New interpretations about the Interaction between Settled and Nomadic People	105
12	. V. CHARPENTIER "The girl says she eats only dog meat. The hunter kills his dogs one after another". Le dossier inachevé de l'alliance et de la viande rouge dans l'Arabie néolithique et de l'âge du Bronze ancien (5000-2000 av. notre ère)	115
13	. E. CHATWIN The Giant Archaeologist	121

14.	R.M. CIMINO I <i>sādhu</i> dell'India e le loro estreme <i>tapasyā</i> nei dipinti indiani e nelle stampe e disegni occidentali	123
15.	D. COCCHI GENICK Il concetto di Eneolitico	143
16.	M. CODEBÒ The Importance of Archaeoastronomy in Archaeological Excavations	149
17.	M. COMPARETI A Recently Excavated Image of a Beribboned Ram from Kafir Kala	153
18.	F. D'AGOSTINO, L. ROMANO Rediscovering Sumer. Excavations at <i>Abu Ţbeirah</i> , Southern Iraq	163
19.	P. D'AMORE Iranian quivers in the Museo nazionale d'arte orientale 'Giuseppe Tucci' – Rome	169
20.	M. DAVID Un archeologo dietro le linee nemiche	177
21.	H. DE SANTIS Surveys about the orientations of the alleged <i>menhirs</i> of Contrada Serraglio on Pantelleria island (Trapani - Italy)	183
22.	S. DÖPPER, C. SCHMIDT Chlorite Vessels from Tomb 155 and Tomb 156 in Bāt, Sultanate of Oman	187
23.	N.A. DUBOVA Anthropological Essay on the Bronze Age Migrations in Central Asia (the case of Gonur Depe, Turkmenistan)	193
24.	R.H. DYSON Jr. Memories of Tosi	203
25.	P.A. ELTSOV Hidden Monumentality in Segregated Space: Discerning the Idea of the Harappan City	205
26.	R. FATTOVICH Rethinking Archaeology and Material Culture in the Early 21st Century. Scattered Thoughts Dedicated to Maurizio Tosi	213
27.	H. FAZELI NASHLI, E. HI YAN WONG, H. AZIZI KHARANAGHI The Evolution of Specialized Ceramic Production during the Late Neolithic and the Transitional Chalcolithic Periods in the Qazvin and Tehran Plains (Iran)	233
28.	E. FIANDRA Maurizio Tosi	245
29.	M. Frachetti "A good choice"	249
30.	M. Frangipane Riflessioni sui fondamenti delle 'economie politiche' nelle società protostatali del mondo 'Mesopotamico'	251
31.	D. FRENEZ The Lothal Revisitation Project. A Fine Thread Connecting Ancient India to Contemporary Ravenna (via Oman)	263
32.	N. GALIATSATOS, D.N.M. DONOGHUE, R. ONDREJKA Technical Specifications of the U.S. Intelligence CORONA Satellite Missions 1960-1972	279
33.	A. GARIBOLDI Alcuni aspetti di economia monetale nei documenti di Monte Mug	291
34.	H. GAUBE Taif before 1900	299
35.	B. GENITO Fragments of an Archaeological Discourse!	307

36.	C. GIARDINO, A. LAZZARI Bronze Age Metal Manufacturing in Eastern Arabia: Evidences from Ra's al-Jinz (Oman) and Failaka (Kuwait)	311
37.	JJ. GLASSNER Une inscription inédite du <i>sukkalmah</i> Temti-agun I ^{er}	323
38.	G. GNOLI Per Maurizio	325
39.	T. GNOLI Per Maurizio Tosi. Ricordi personali e sollecitazioni scientifiche	327
40.	I. GOOD Pieces of eight: a small cache of textiles from Shahr-i Sokhta	331
41.	A. GUBAEV, N. BJAŠIMOVA Exploration of Buddhist monuments in Southern Turkmenistan	341
42.	A. GUIDI Io e Maurizio – storia di un'amicizia	
43.	S. GUPTA Maurizio Tosi: A Modern Day Ulysses	
44.	J. KELLY, D. DOMENICI, M. CATTANI, F. DEBANDI Toward the Understanding of Other Complexities: Archaeological Researches in Cahokia's West Plaza (ILL., USA)	
45.	L.B. KIRČO Problems in the Periodic Division and Chronology of Sites of the Palaeometallic Age of Southern Turkmenistan and the Stratigraphic Sequence at Altyn-Depe	359
46.	Ph. Kohl Larger Than Life: reminiscences of personal intersections over a professional lifetime	365
47.	N.N. KRADIN Mongols Empire and Debates of the Nomadic State Origins	369
48.	K. Kristiansen Body and Soul	377
49.	A. KURBANOV Written sources on the Hephthalites	379
50.	F. LA CECLA Maurizio come Avventura	385
51.	B.B. LAL Did a Ritual associated with Fire form a part of Harappan Religion?	387
52.	C.C. LAMBERG-KARLOVSKY Interaction Spheres in the Ancient Near East: Thirty-five Years Later	391
53.	N. LANERI The Lifestyle of Ancient Entrepreneurs: Trade and Urbanization in the Ancient Near East During the Early 2 nd Millennium BC	
54.	P. LAUREANO Le gallerie di captazione idrica: <i>Qanat, Foggara, Khettara, Falaj</i> . una nuova visione ecosistemica	411
55.	R. LAW Evaluating Potential Lapis Lazuli Sources for Ancient South Asia Using Sulfur Isotope Analysis	419
56.	E. LEONE Tureng Tepe: A Hypothesis of 3D Reconstruction of the High Terrace	431
57.	C. LIPPOLIS Da Babilonia a Hollywood. Falsi e propaganda nell'Iraq di Saddam	437
58.	M. LIVERANI The Sahara during Antiquity: Structure and History	443

59.	F. LUGLI Per un'etno-archeologia del nomadismo della Mongolia	449
60.	N. MAESTRI Ancient Maya Water Management: A Review of the Academic Perception of the Maya Tropical Environment	457
61.	S. MALGORA Un corpo per l'eternità	463
62.	S. MANTELLINI, L. CAPONETTI Water Management in Ancient Etruria: the <i>cuniculus</i> of San Potente (Tuscania, Lazio)	479
63.	M. MARAQTEN A New Small Inscribed Cuboid Incense Burner from Yemen	487
64.	M. MARAZZI Il mare e i Micenei: dalla nave al segno e viceversa	491
65.	L.G. MARCUCCI with the contribution of H. AL-TAIE The Site of Ra's al-Hamra 5 (Muscat, Sultanate of Oman). Brief Chronicle of the Excavations (1973-2010)	505
66.	S. MASSA Pantelleria e l'antica scienza dell'idrogenesi	517
67.	M. MIRANDA 'Maurizio Tosi's Corporate Academy'. A Professor devoted to 'Vision and Knowledge'	529
68.	M. MORELLO L'Avorio nella Civiltà dell'Indo: Origini dell'uso e dell'ammaestramento di <i>Elephas maximus indicus</i>	531
69.	M. MORTAZAVI Craft Activity at Tepe Dasht	549
70.	R. MOSCHELLA Scrittura, organizzazione politica e legittimazione del potere	559
71.	E. MURADOVA Archaeological explorations at Izat-kuli	563
72.	A. NASO A Gravina con Maurizio	565
	F. NICOLETTI La fortificazione preistorica di Mursìa (Pantelleria)	567
	P. OGNIBENE L'eroe degli sciti	
75.	M. ORAZOV Maurizio Tosi	
76.	A. PANAINO The Role of the Yaγnob Valley in the Political and Economical History of the Sogdian Upper ZarafŠān. A Preliminary Historical Overview after the 3 rd Italian Expedition in the Yaγnob Valley and in the Upper ZarafŠān (Matčā and Paryar)	587
77.	A. PARPOLA 'Kulli' pots from the antiques market: looted or faked?	
78.	T.C. PATTERSON Archaeological Systems Theory and the Origin of the State: a Critique	
79.	. C. Pepe	
80.	Neverland. Metafora di un viaggio di ricerca nel Mediterraneo	
81	Preso per la gola	623
	Hybrid Imagery and Cultural Identity in the Age of Exchange: Halil River Basin and Sumer meet in Margiana	625

00	M.A. Dovyovymmy	
82.	M.A. POLICHETTI Il frutto incoronato. Riflessioni sull'iconologia e la simbolica della melagrana	637
83.	D.T. POTTS On some Early Equids at Susa	643
84.	W.L. RATHJE, A. GONZÁLEZ-RUIBAL Garbage as Runes. The Archaeology of Globalization	649
85.	A.V. ROSSI Frontiere linguistiche e frontiere archeologiche: Maurizio Tosi e il Balochistan	655
86.	G. ROSSI OSMIDA Alla ricerca di una terra felice	663
87.	S.M.S. SAJJADI Some preliminary observations from the new excavations at the Graveyard of Shahr-i Sokhta	665
88.	R. SALA Methodological problems concerning the correlation between paleoclimatic and archaeological data	677
89.	F. SCHOLZ Belutschistans Südost-Frontier	685
90.	P. STEINKELLER Marhaši and Beyond: The Jiroft Civilization in a Historical Perspective	691
91.	R. TEWARI Prof. Maurizio Tosi: my impressions	709
92.	C.P. THORNTON A Return to the South Hill of Tepe Hissar, Iran	711
93.	S. TUSA Il ruolo stimolante di un amico-maestro nella carriera di un archeologo anomalo tra le vette himalayane e la tormentata Sicilia dAll'intenso blu dei suoi mari	719
94.	G. VANNINI Per Shawbak, erede Medievale di Petra	727
95.	D. VITALI I Celti d'Italia (IV-I secolo a.C.) tra identità e assimilazioni	733
96.	T.J. WILKINSON A Perspective on the "continuous landscape" of the Murghab Region	751
97.	P. YULE A New Prehistoric Anthropomorphic Figure from the Sharqiyah, Oman	759
98.	J. ZARINS Neolithic Architecture of Dhofar: The Foundations of Structure in Southern Arabia	
99.	A. ZIFFEREO Archeologia, parchi e pianificazione paesistica: idee e proposte per una discussione	777

FRAGMENTS OF AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL DISCOURSE!

Bruno GENITO

Università degli Studi di Napoli, "L'Orientale", Italy

It is not easy to write an article in honor of Maurizio: too broad has been the sphere of his scientific interests, too complex his personal and professional identity, so as too close our relationship, to find the right way to contribute to this initiative. Yet, when the original editorial Committee of the volume to his honor asked me to participate to it, I immediately began to think about the kind of article I could write and Maurizio would have appreciated. Then, I thought to the possibility to dedicate to him some reflections I have made on our human and professional relationship over the recent decades, during which we have shared moments of an intense and exciting professional itinerary, that have brought us together.

I met Maurizio for the first time at the end of November 1972 in Teheran, in Park Hotel, at that time home of the members of the Italian Archaeological Mission working in Iran. On that occasion I met also Giuseppe Tucci, who was visiting Umberto Scerrato, hospitalized for an annoying physical problem. Of course, I had already heard much about them, but I was leaving for Isfahan to participate to the archaeological activities, directed by Umberto Scerrato, in the Masjed-e Jom'e of that town (but this is another story!). Thus, my meeting with Maurizio was short and fleeting. At that time I was 21 years old, actually not very much far in age from him, but enormously far from his level of knowledge.

Although at that moment I could not imagine the extent to which my life would have been affected by that journey and those encounters, the meeting with Maurizio gave me the opportunity to know immediately and appreciate not only his skill and scientific knowledge, but also his immense humanity; qualities that, together with others, have always characterized his work and life.

Thinking about that first meeting and all the experiences I lived with him, during these days I have felt the need to tell, in this article, how much my relationship with Maurizio has given to me, even through some apparently less important or macroscopic episodes.

I can start telling about Sistan, where I went in 1975 and 1976 to participate at the restoration activities of IsIAO (at that time IsMEO, and now again ISMEO) of the Achaemenid monumental complex of Dāhān-i Ghūlāmān, uncovered and studied by Umberto Scerrato since 1959. Today the historical importance of that urban complex is very well known, but, even then, it was easy to glimpse the research perspectives of a work of the Achaemenid period.

In those years Maurizio was working at Shahr-i Sokhta, where warmly welcomed me at my arrival from Isfahan.

He immediately behaved like a master, just explaining me, in his point of view, the immense perspectives of a research work at Dāhān-i Ghūlāmān. I had not explicitly told from Umberto Scerrato about the work on the ceramics collected there, but with the great enthusiasm that Maurizio has always been able to transmit, he stimulated me to do it. Realizing that, as a student, I was uncertain and worried about the possibility to cause some inconvenience to whom had sent me there, Maurizio suggested me to phone to the *Masjed-e Jom'e* of Isfahan. I tried, but I could not speak with Umberto Scerrato because, at that time, the phone connections were not as widespread and technically efficient as today.

After some hesitations, I set to work on that immense quantity of pottery fragments. Up to then, I had never worked on ceramics; I had just dug it and only in Isfahan. Maurizio gave me lots of recommendations and a wealth of references, many of which in Russian, also because within a very short time I would have been able to start again the excavations at Dāhān-i Ghūlāmān, working again on the path that Scerrato had traced years before. I still remember the great amount of information he gave me: he tried to explain to me the morphology and the typology of ceramics and, particularly, the concept of 'morphotype', a word that at that time seemed to me almost incomprehensible.

Even if the ceramics from Dāhān-i Ghūlāmān are not yet been published, today it is easy to recognize that Maurizio's theoretic-methodological approach was as quite correct as far-sighted.

No one could have then imagined that, for more than 30 years, it would have been practically impossible to go back to Iran, as well as to Sistan. I myself had not thought that it could have been so difficult to do, much time later, something that, in those days, was going to be immediately reached.

I still remember an occasion in which, once more, Maurizio was really surprising: at a certain point, he asked me a precise question: "What do you think about me?". But, when I answered using the term 'genius', Maurizio promptly replied that geniuses do not exist as the genius of a person depends only from his/her commitment and study (*industria ac studio*, the ancient Romans would have said!).

Later on in the 80s, thanks to his intuition, it was possible first to imagine and then to realize an archaeological project in Hungary, that brought us to talk and discuss together again. We were in another epoch, there still was MAURIZIO TOSI E L'ARCHEOLOGIA COME MODO DI VIVERE

the communist bloc, and only with the help of Bökönyi Sandor, at the time director of the Institute of Archaeology of the Academy of Sciences of Hungary, it was possible to start the project on the field.

There was an episode that occurred in that country on April 1984, at the beginning of the project, that can be considered indicative of Maurizio's attitudes. After some critical misunderstandings with the local colleagues to start the fieldwork, we had to suggest a concrete, very specific and articulated proposal, on the basis of the agreement we had set down with them: the opening of a new topic for the Italian field archaeology about the migratory waves of nomadic people of Asiatic origin who reached, in different times and ways, the Carpathian basin. The project in Hungary had been conceived as an exchange agreement, according to which the Hungarians would have dug in Italy and the Italians in Hungary. The problem was that, while Hungarians immediately sought and obtained the excavation of a Roman villa in central Italy, we did not have the chance to make a specific request that would somehow interested Hungarians.

In those days Maurizio and I spent an evening together, having a dinner and making a quick tour of the pubs in a fascinating Budapest, at that time immersed in the atmosphere of an attractive - for the Westerners - town of the Communist bloc. Talking about this and that, before a Magyar delicious dish, Maurizio suddenly asked to me what could correspond, in the Great Hungarian Plain, to a Roman villa, from an archaeological point of view. Even if I had an adequate knowledge of the archaeology in that country, the answer was not quite easy. However, I quickly told him that, according to me, if we considered the question from the point of view of the most common remains, the burial mounds, the kurgans (in Hungarian halom) could be compared to a Roman villa. The answer was rather improvised and, actually, scientifically daring. Immediately Maurizio said that it was a right answer and that we could have put in the same position those two different classes of archaeological remains. As a matter of fact yet, in July of the same year I started the excavation at Gyoma 133, the area of an ancient kurgan of Scythian epoch, named Csap Halom and also covered by Late Bronze and Sarmatian age remains.

There is another episode concerning Hungary that I remember clearly. It was 1988, and I was at the "Istituto Universitario Orientale", now "Università degli Studi di Napoli, "L'Orientale". I had just come back from Hungary, where there were some unpleasant incidents between myself and local colleagues. One of them, who was not involved in the affaire, had written a letter to Maurizio, as the first promoter of the project years before. to tell him about the incident. I was the bearer of the letter and Maurizio wanted to open it in front of me. I was impressed by this initiative, because he imagined that the letter could not contain flattering remarks about me and, therefore, he could read them alone and then tell me just what he thought it was appropriate. But, as usual, he preferred to behave in a direct way; what I appreciated very much.

Going back in my memory I could recall many other episodes of our shared experiences, and I will speak only about a few more.

The great expedition in Turkmenistan began quietly in 1989, but soon grew until 1998, thanks to an enormous amount of energy, including financial management by IsMEO and Istituto Universitario Orientale. The area is very well known as one of those where you may to spend an entire working life, being even not sufficient to find out its cognitive potential. In fact, whichever this is the point of view from which you can look at its history and environmental context of the region, Turkmenistan can be considered as a milestone in the understanding of the whole set of the historical, cultural, economic and political dynamics from Neolithic era (up) to the rise of Islam

I remember the first time I spoke with Maurizio about the project, I was quite worried by what I might expect. But I could not imagine the extent of the concrete topographic fieldwork and of the efforts we would have to face in those years, when the modern GIS perspectives had not yet or just begun (it was 1989). Thanks to the available technology, nowadays everything is much easier! Though Maurizio, at that time, was living and working in India, he tried so much to organize everything, especially in the practical aspects, as far as we had to work in a particular cultural, political and geographical context, so much so that my efforts and those of my Italian colleagues certainly appeared to be fewer! In a couple of weeks he was able to transform the place where we were living in a suitable camp-base for working in the next years (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Turkmenistan, September 1989; Sovkoz "50th anniversary", now Bayram-ali. Me, Maurizio, Gennady Andreevič Košelenko and Vassif Gaibov.

Notwithstanding or thanks also to the difficulties I had to face, the work experience in Turkmenistan was one of the most stimulating I could ever make in those years, also because the archaeological richness of the area revealed even greater than I had imagined. I remember one day, while we eat our usual frugal lunch in front of one of the sites identified in the morning, no 38, and Maurizio spoke

to me about the possibility to dig it maybe next year. It did not happen, mainly because the quantity and quality of the sites gradually came out to be so large, that site no 38 became less significant than it had looked to us at the beginning.

This just to say that Maurizio had immediately thought about the possibility of developing specific themes and subjects, including new and unknown, at the same moment they had occurred and even if they only seemed possible.

Something analogous happened for Yaz-tepe, a huge and incredibly important Iron Age site of the area, that Maurizio thought I could dug. Although with some misgivings and reluctance, I practically gave up the idea of opening trenches there, also because we were at the epilogue of the first phase of the project and our Italian Institutions, already burdened by increasing financial constraints, did not seem to be longer so sure to bring it at the end.

What still now has quite impressed me, have not been so much the numerous proposals that Maurizio made to me and to other even younger collaborators, as the conviction with which he defended the scientific motivations to undertake a work even when it was easy to foresee that it would not have been possible to get an adequate financial support. For him, the fact that a project was scientifically legitimate, feasible and adequate was, in fact, the priority issue, far more important than any contingent economical question.

It is definitely true that, first, it is important to build up a project and, second, to try to get money for that. But it is equally true that, if you do not have at least the initial financial support, it is quite difficult, if not impossible, to accomplish what you intend to do. The balance between these two aspects is very difficult to have at your disposal, but it should be certainly pursued, if you want to have a credible expectation of success.

The history project, that have seen Maurizio and me so closely involved both in conceiving and thinking over them and, sometime, also working on, is full of more and less important episodes. If they are observed now, by the detached eye of an older aged, these episodes may appear less influential than they were perceived at that time. Yet, one thing is certainly sure: for me, all of them, and many others, are the mirror and, at the same time, the expression of an interpretation of the enormous scientific personality of Maurizio. It is not my job up to me to

make a value judgment of his scientific life or, even more, express an opinion of him: I have no role nor, perhaps, even the ability! I cannot say to have shared all his chooses, views and ways of living and working....I would be a liar! But I strongly believe that our mutual respect has always been above undeniable moments of tension between us.

My intention in these few pages has been to outline the path, the line, the attitude that, in my view, has always inspired Maurizio with regard to his colleagues, friends and not friends, young and old, 'structured' or 'unstructured' (as they say in Italy, nowadays, with an unhappy expression); the objects of his fighting, his conviction, the perseverance with which he could get results even when the goals were unattainable. I think that there are two, in conclusion, more aspects that have made Maurizio a tireless teacher: his tenacity and utopia. There are two ways of understanding and living also the profession, questionable if you will, but still undeniable elements of vitality that can bend even the most skeptical and pessimistic visions: one immediate, active, less reflective and another more cautious. Maurizio has always belonged to the first, I perhaps to the second; but my experience with him has a little contributed to the passage, during the time, to the first one.

Last but not least, let me also to make a final comment on our profession: archaeology. I come from a tradition of studies of Classical Archaeology (in Italy, i.e. the Greek-Roman archaeology) that, good and evil, is always forged generations of scholars! The same tradition of research has enabled Giuseppe Tucci, the pioneer of Oriental Studies in Italy, to open the new season of the Oriental Archaeology in our country, with the contribution he expressly asked to such scholars as the late Umberto Scerrato, Domenico Faccenna, Maurizio Taddei. Maurizio Tosi does not belong to that tradition, and nevertheless its contribution to the proto-historic and prehistoric archaeology in the countries of Asia has, however, been and will remain central. The future of archaeological research in Asia will depend, in my opinion, on both those two different methods and strategies; the future generations will arise with the necessary continuity and discontinuity to follow those footsteps that have thrown an inescapable methodological and factual bridge between the Mediterranean areas and the vast deserts, plains, steppes and plateaus of Asia. Otherwise we will go further back to 40 years ago before the genial intuition of Giuseppe Tucci!

Thank you and best wishes, Maurizio!

Copyright material: no unauthorized reproduction in any medium