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Questi atti sono il risultato del workshop internazionale Cultural and Material Contacts in the Ancient Near East, tenutosi a Torino l’1 e il 2 dicembre 2014. L’evento, organizzato da dottorandi e studenti dell’Università degli Studi di Torino, è stato espressamente rivolto a giovani studiosi interessati alla problematica dei contatti materiali e culturali nel Vicino Oriente antico tra il II millennio a.C. e l’età partico-sasanide. Numerosi ricercatori provenienti da diversi paesi (Italia, Francia, Inghilterra, Polonia, Canada, Ungheria, ecc.) hanno scelto di partecipare al call for papers proposto dal Comitato Organizzativo. L’alto numero delle richieste ci ha obbligato a compiere una selezione tra i numerosi contributi pervenuti e ad aprire una più ampia sessione poster. Il livello degli interventi che hanno avuto luogo durante i due giorni del workshop è stato giudicato in maniera positiva dai professori presenti, dagli editori e dai partecipanti e ci ha incoraggiato a pubblicarne gli atti il più rapidamente possibile. Questo obiettivo è stato raggiunto dopo solo un anno e mezzo. Sappiamo, infatti, che i giovani studiosi hanno bisogno di pubblicazioni per crescere e migliorare la propria carriera accademica e siamo coscienti del fatto che generalmente i primi lavori costituiscono un punto di riferimento importante per il proprio percorso di ricerca. Siamo dunque felici e orgogliosi di aver potuto dare ai partecipanti l’opportunità di presentare al pubblico accademico i loro primi e proficui lavori.
Gli interventi raccolti in questo volume, suddivisi conformemente alle diverse sessioni del workshop, mostrano approcci innovativi circa l’archeologia, la storia e la filologia del Vicino Oriente antico. L’integrazione di diverse metodologie e discipline ha permesso infatti di indagare in maniera più esaustiva la complessità dei contatti materiali e culturali nel Vicino Oriente tra il II millennio a.C. e il l’età partico-sasanide.
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ABSTRACT
In the frame of the archaeological researches carried out between the 60s and the 70s of the last century in Iranian Sistan by an Italian team of IsMEO (Istituto Italiano per il Medio ed Estremo Oriente) headed by Umberto Scerrato, some trenches were excavated at the fortified citadels of Qal‘a-ye Tepe and Qal‘a-ye Sam in order to obtain data to be compared with the information which, during those years, the same team was gathering at the nearby Achaemenid site of Dahān-e Gholāmān. The ongoing reappraisal of the results from those still unpublished archaeological activities (to be meant as a part of “Archaeo.Pro. Di.Mu:S: Archaeological Project Digital and Multimedia Sistan”, housed at the Università degli Studi di Napoli “L’Orientale” and supervised by Prof. Bruno Genito) is centred on the re-examination and digital reorganisation of the extant documental archive concerning those two sites and on the study of the ceramic assemblage therein recovered.
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In the early summer of 1959, Giuseppe Tucci, Co-founder and President of the IsMEO (Istituto per il Medio ed Estremo Oriente) left for Asia to supervise IsMEO excavations in Pakistan and Afghanistan. While he was in Asia, in the autumn of 1959, he carried out a survey1 in the eastern Iranian region of Sistan,2 initiating two decades of Italian field activities in that area (1959-1978). A comprehensive program of archaeological investigations in Sistan3 was conceived and planned for the following months. A first, preliminary archaeological mission headed by Giorgio Gullini4 and coordinated on the field by Umberto Scerrato left for Sistan after a few weeks, between October and December 1960, in order to continue the preliminary survey of the most outstanding archaeological sites previously detected and to set out in Zabul today, together with the southern region of Baluchistan, one of the widest provinces (ostān) of the Islamic Republic of Iran: Sistan va Baluchestān. From a morphological point of view, it represents the westernmost sector, encompassed within the boundaries of the Islamic Republic of Iran, of the lacustrine system (today partially interested by artificial flooding) forming the Hamun Lake, whose eastern portion is instead encompassed within the Afghan province of Nimruz. That lacustrine basin, however, represents only the terminal segment of a wider and more complex endorheic hydrographic system, located between eastern Iran and south-western Afghanistan, having an extension wider than 400x200 kilometres (JUX, KEMP 1983, 7) and named Sistan Basin (or Hilmand Basin, after its main tributary river). The fragile ecological balance of the entire Basin is totally dependent from the hydrology of the Hilmand River itself, for this reason considered as Sistan’s “life-line” (FAISERSERVIS 1961, 13), whose course acted in History and still acts today as the “umbilical cord” for all living species in the region, man included.

1 Between the end of the 50s and the beginnings of the 60s, at the time of the first activities carried out by the IsMEO, historical and archaeological information about ancient Sistan was somewhat scanty. A few references to the area could be found only in historical and geographical works written by Muslim authors during the first centuries of the Islamic period (BOSWORTH 1968, 2000, 2004; SAJADAN 2001) and in the reports written by some Officials of the British Army between the end of 19th and the beginnings of the 20th century (YATE 1900; SYKES 1902, Chaps. 31-33; TATE 1910-12). Systematic archaeological exploration of western Sistan, instead, started in 1916 with the survey carried out by Sir Aurel Stein at the end of his third travel to Central Asia (STEIN 1928, 902-979). A few years later, Ernst Herzfeld carried out some archaeological prospections in the area (HERZFELD 1926, 266-272) and subsequently concentrated with particular emphasis on the site of Kuh-e Khwāje (HERZFELD 1932), which from that moment onwards was considered the most outstanding example of Parthian art and architecture in the region (HERZFELD 1935, 58-74, pls. VII-X; 1941, 291-297, 301-302, pls. XCVI-CIV). Modern archaeological interest towards the easternmost, Afghan portion of Sistan started only some decades later, in the autumn of 1936, thanks to the activities by DAFA (Délégation Archéologique Française en Afghanistan) directed by Joseph Hackin and Roman Ghirshman. Of particular importance were the soundings carried out at Nad-i Ali (GHIRSHMAN 1959, 1959), about six kilometres north of the present-day Zaranj. In September 1950 and in January-February 1951, instead, the Department of Anthropology of the New York American Museum financed two expeditions directed by the American anthropologist Walter A. Fair servicing Jr., which succeeded in carrying out a quite comprehensive archaeological survey of the Afghan Sistan. The final results of those surveys were published about ten years later (FAISERSERVIS 1961).


NOTES

1 Director of the “Centro Scavi e Ricerche in Asia dell’IsMEO e di Torino” (the Turin branch of the IsMEO Excavation Centre), the Institute from which, in 1963, Prof. Gullini promoted, in collaboration with the University of Turin, the Municipality of Turin and the Province of Turin, the constitution of the “Centro Ricerche Archeologiche e Scavi di Torino per il Medio Oriente e l’Asia”.
headquarter of the Italian excavation activities. The following year, in 1961, again between October and December, the Italian team started the first archaeological campaign, Giorgio Gullini carried out stratigraphic excavations at Kuhe Khwâje, investigating the entrance and the southern and eastern sectors of the courtyard in the so-called Ghâga Šahr complex. The results of the archaeological excavations and of the systematic survey carried out in other areas of the site (i.e. the walls, the cemetery and the entire area around the Ghâga Šahr complex as well as the area of the so-called Čehel Doḵtarān - lit. “Forty Girls” - complex, a stronghold with quadrangular layout on the south-western edge of the site) were published by Gullini a few years later.

In the same weeks of 1961, also Umberto Scerrato carried out archaeological activities in Sistan, excavating some trenches at the fortified citadel of Qal’a-ye Tepe, in the area of the upper Posht-ab.

Anyway, from 1962 the scientific interest of the IsMEO focused principally on the Achaemenid site of Dahâne-ye Ghohlâmân, at about thirty kilometres south-east from the city of Zabul, where Umberto Scerrato directed several seasons (1962-1965 and 1975-1977) of excavations and restoration activities.

Nevertheless, complementary to the main archaeological activities at Dahâne-ye Ghohlâmân, IsMEO continued explorations at Qal’a-ye Tepe and, in addition, carried out some trenches at the fortified citadel of Qal’a-ye Sam, with the aim to investigate the development both of the settlement pattern and of the ceramic production in Sistan during later phases of the historical period. The results of the soundings carried out at the latter two sites, unfortunately, were not published in detail. They were just hinted at in an article regarding building QN3 at Dahâne-ye Ghohlâmân, in a communication written by Scerrato for the Dante Alighieri Society in Florence and in the brief report about the IsMEO archaeological activities in Sistan read during the Fifth International Congress on Iranian Art and Archaeology held at Tehran in April 1968. Moreover, while some other archaeological activities at the sites of Kuhe Khwâje and Dahâne-ye Ghohlâmân were carried out in the last decades, it seems that Qal’a-ye Tepe and Qal’a-ye Sam remained quite neglected by field activities after the IsMEO excavations.

The present paper aims at illustrating some preliminary results of an ongoing reappraisal of the IsMEO excavations at Qal’a-ye Tepe and Qal’a-ye Sam, carried out in the frame of Archaeo.Pro.Di.Mu.S. (Archaeological Project Digital and Multimedia Sistan), a scientific project housed at the University of Naples “L’Orientale” (UNO) under the scientific supervision by Prof. Bruno Genito (Chair of Iranian Archaeology and Art History), focused on the implementation of a WebGIS platform (Fig. 1) to store, organize and manage the photographic and documentary archive produced by the IsMEO archaeological activities at Dahâne-ye Ghohlâmân, Qal’a-ye Tepe and Qal’a-ye Sam. Between 2003 and 2006, on the basis of an agreement between IsIAO (Istituto Italiano per l’Africa e l’Oriente, the Institute into which IsMEO had merged) and UNO, the entire photographic, graphic and textual archive of the IsMEO archaeological missions at Dahâne-ye Ghohlâmân, Qal’a-ye Tepe and Qal’a-ye Sam was progressively transferred to CISA (Centro Interdipartimentale di Servizi di Archeologia) at UNO in order to be archived in a digital format.
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The fortified citadel of Qal’a-ye Tepe\(^\text{16}\) is located in the upper Posht-ab area, at about fifteen kilometres north-east of the city of Zabul, in the vicinity of the village of Kazemabad and near the ruins of the Islamic sanctuary known as Bibi Dust. Between 1961 and 1962, twelve soundings were carried out by Umberto Scerrato in order to investigate the development of its fortification system, characterised by a double curtain wall (Figs. 2 and 3). Excavations made it possible to detect at least three phases in the life of the citadel (each one divided in several sub-phases), dating back to a very wide time span between the 3rd century BC and the 15th century AD. A fourth and more ancient phase (probably to be dated between the late Seleucid and the early Parthian period) was very partially detected by the excavator only in some trenches but, unfortunately, the high level of the aquifer (and also the necessity to increase the logistic efforts towards the important discoveries in the meantime made at Dahāne-ye Gholāmān) prevented further deepening in the excavations.

Located at about twenty-seven kilometres south-west of Zabul, towards the western limit of the present-day Hilmand delta, in the vicinity of the Sekuhe village, the citadel of Qal’a-ye Sam\(^\text{17}\) (Figs. 4 and 5), whose ancient name remains unknown, was founded on the top of a slightly elevated alluvial deposit. The perimeter of the walls surrounding the citadel has a sub-quadrangular shape, with a blunted north-eastern edge, following both the natural configuration of the terrain and the course of an ancient canal, which was still partially in use at the time of the excavations by the IsMEO team. A monumental gateway flanked by two rounded tower-like structures gave access into the citadel on its eastern side by means of a street having an ENE-WSW orientation. After a brief survey in 1960, the IsMEO Archaeological Mission carried out some trenches in 1964, together with the drawing of a preliminary plan including both the structures brought into light by the excavations and all the other structures visible inside the perimeter of the citadel\(^\text{18}\).

According to the extant information, the excavations discovered structural remains pertaining to at least two different chronological phases (each of them divided in several sub-phases). While the first and more recent phase was dated by Scerrato to a time span between the late Parthian and the Sasanian period, the second one was dated to the early Parthian period. Moreover, a third and more ancient phase

\(^{16}\) The citadel of Qal’a-ye Tepe had already been visited by Stein, who collected a corpus of glazed ceramic fragments belonging to the Islamic Period and ribbed ceramic fragments dated from the Sasanian to the proto-Islamic Period (Stein 1928, 936, 939; pl. CXV Gh.Ta.03, Gh.Ta.05, Gh.Ta.08). The site had been also briefly reported by Fairservis (1961, 39-40, fig. 8 no. 10).

\(^{17}\) The site had been already reported by other scholars (Tate 1910-12, 238; Fairservis 1961, 39, fig. 8 no. 6). Some further information was also provided by Gullini, who cited the site as “Seh Kuhe” (after the name of the nearby village) and published a sketch map and some photographs (Gullini 1964, 303-304, 395-396 figs. no. 231-235, 433 n. 74.).

\(^{18}\) The layout of many structures at Qal’a-ye Sam - as well as at Dahāne-ye Gholāmān (Scerrato 1962, 186) - were detectable on the ground even before the first excavations, thanks to characteristic saline outcrops leaving on the terrain some whitish and well-defined traces in correspondence of the old buried walls.
Fig. 2 - Detail of the plan indicating the areas excavated at Qal’a-ye Tepe in 1961 and 1962 (IsMEO/IsIAO drawings archive; inventory no. 8655). Not to scale.

Fig. 3 - The walls of Qal’a-ye Tepe as seen from the northern part of the surrounding plain (IsMEO/IsIAO photographic archive; inventory no. 1094/2).
Fig. 4 - General plan of Qal’a-ye Sam drawn by Mr. Tullio Tamagnini in 1964 (IsMEO/IsIAO drawings archive; inventory no. 1330). Not to scale.

Fig. 5 - Qal’a-ye Sam: the north-eastern walls and the eastern monumental gateway as seen from inside the citadel (IsMEO/IsIAO photographic archive; inventory no. 3129/8).
was detected and partially investigated only in one of the trenches, where it was tentatively dated to the late-Seleucid period. Unfortunately, further excavations were not carried out in the following years to confirm such a chronological attribution19.

The corpus of ceramics coming from the IsMEO excavations at Qal’a-ye Tepe and Qal’a-ye Sam has never been published in a complete way20. The assemblage presently at disposal is represented by 1271 ceramic fragments21 from the soundings excavated at Qal’a-ye Tepe and by about one hundred and fifty ceramic fragments from the survey and from the two soundings carried out at Qal’a-ye Sam. These fragments, selected from among the whole corpus of excavated materials (or materials collected during preliminary surveys)22 were transferred to Italy23 in the late 60’s of the last century, on the basis of an agreement between IsMEO and the former Imperial Service for the Antiquities of Iran. While some objects were stored at MNAO (Museo Nazionale d’Arte Orientale) - presently MNAO (Museo Nazionale d’Arte Orientale “Giuseppe Tucci”) - in Rome, to be put on display, the great bulk of the fragments were kept at the Centro Scavi of the IsMEO (later ISIAO), in Rome. After ISIAO ceased its glorious activity between the end of 2005 in the frame of the activities carried out by the Chair of Iranian Archaeology and Art History at UNO and a team of scholars collaborating between the Chair of Iranian Archaeology and Art History at UNO25, is still stored at MNAO’s warehouse in Rome.

The study of these materials, started in 2005 in the frame of the activities carried out by the Chair of Iranian Archaeology and Art History at UNO25, is still ongoing in the frame of Archaeo.Pro.Di.Mu.S., with a special attention to archaeometric issues, thanks to the collaboration between the Chair of Iranian Archaeology and Art History at UNO and a team of scholars from DiSTAR (Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, dell’Ambiente e delle Risorse) at the University of Naples “Federico II”, headed by Prof. Vincenzo Morra.

Covering a very wide time span approximately between the 3rd century BC and the 15th century AD, the pottery assemblage from Qal’a-ye Tepe26 is represented by 274 glazed and 988 unglazed ceramic fragments. Among the first group, examples of slip painted, underglazed painted, graffita, graffita and splashed, splashed, monochrome green, monochrome turquoise, monochrome black and monochrome dark brown wares are attested in seven different ceramic fabrics. Macroscopic analyses on the four unglazed pottery classes (common ware, ribbed ware, burnished ware and “Dipinta Storica Sistana”27) made it possible, instead, to single out fifteen different ceramic fabrics.

The most characteristic class of ceramics attested in the assemblage from Qal’a-ye Sam28 is instead the “Dipinta Storica Sistana”, particularly significant during phase II and to be dated back to the early-Parthian or even late-Seleucid period, by virtue of its stratigraphic connection with several ceramic fragments bearing Greek letters incised and with some ostraka with inscriptions in cursive Greek29. In addition, fragments of this ware were found at Dahâne-ye Ghollision in some layers to be related to a very late, post-Achaemenid chronological phase of the site30.

Preliminary mineralogical and petrographic analyses on the assemblage testify that although technological features of the ceramic production changed significantly over time, similar raw materials, widely available in the area, were utilised for a long period31, suggesting long-lasting close relationships between settlements in Sistan and their territorial and environmental context.

---

19 In 1973 Scerrato carried out a brief survey, probably in order to plan new soundings (ANONYMOUS 1973, 418), but the excavations were never resumed.

20 Scerrato just gave some hints to it (SCERRATO 1966a, 466-467; 1970, 137-139; 1972, 202-203), and in the past decades other information about the pottery from Qal’a-ye Sam and Qal’a-ye Tepe was provided in a general work on the ceramics from the Parthian Period in Iran (HAIRNICK 1983, 214-222, figs. 36-37, pl. XIV nos. 1-6), in a review-article of the latter monograph (VÖGELSANG 1985, 167-169), in the catalogue of an exhibition held at the Museo Nazionale d’Arte Orientale in Rome (D’AMORE 1999) and in a preliminary article by Genito, who provided also some pictures of ceramic fragments from both sites (GENITO 2010, 104 no. 3, 109 fig. 5, 110 fig. 7).

21 Some of them match each other, thus the actual total number of ceramic fragments from Qal’a-ye Tepe is 1262.

22 Unfortunately, it has not been possible to determine with precision the criteria according to which such a division was made. Of course, that decision by Scerrato was firmly guided by scientific reasons but it was also probably affected by some logistic and bureaucratic issues.

23 Together with pottery fragments from Dahâne-ye Ghollision and other artefacts from the three sites, as some examples of choroplastics, metal and stone objects etc.

24 Already selected in 2006 from the extant fragments (MARESCA 2008, 107 n. 59; 2014, 65 n. 8).

25 MARESCA 2010; OLiMO 2009; MARESCA 2008, 100-139.

26 OLiMO 2009; MARESCA 2008, 123-130, pls. 11-14.

27 This ceramic class is characterised by a peculiar painted decoration in red, wine-red, ochre, brown and dark brown, sometimes limited to the rim but more often covering also the shoulder or the upper portion of the vessel. Almost always monochromatic, the decoration consists mostly of geometric patterns but also, quite rarely, of stylised phytomorphic motifs (MARESCA 2016).


29 These fragments were published only in a preliminary way (PUGLIESE CARRATELLI 1966, 34-35, figs. 6-10). One of them, in particular, in a quite good state of preservation, carrying an inscription consisting of thirteen lines, today stored at the Museo Nazionale d’Arte Orientale in Rome (D’AMORE 1999, 80 no. 100, pl. XI cat. 100), was dated to the middle 3rd century BC (PUGLIESE CARRATELLI 1966, 34, fig. 6).

30 Probably when the city had already been abandoned by its inhabitants (SCERRATO 1962, 188 no. X; 1966, 464-465, n. 20; 1972, 203).

31 MARESCA 2016.
Giulio Maresca
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