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Mairs presents to a wide (not only papyrological) audience three major corpora of documentary texts from Bactria (present-day northern Afghanistan) in the Aramaic, Greek, and Bactrian languages published in the last two decades. The textual evidence, dating from the 5th century BCE to the 5th century CE, allows us to ‘trace the administrative history of Bactria through successive regime changes’, from the Achaemenid province to an independent Graeco-Bactrian state and to the Kushan heartland. Synchronically, the Aramaic and Greek documents ‘offer remarkable parallels with contemporary material from Egypt, and indicate that administrative (and scribal) practices at opposite ends of the Achaemenid and Hellenistic empire(s) had much in common’. Diachronically, the author emphasizes the continuity from the Achaemenid to the Hellenistic administrative practice, apparently left untouched by the political takeovers, suggesting a particular ‘political and economic strategy’ involving ‘the retention of existing personnel and systems of economic production and exploitation in the service of a new political power’. The presented corpora are the Aramaic documents.

2 It could be marginally noted that the date of the Aramaic document C1 (in the Khalili collection, see p. 2042-2043) could be alternatively December 337-January 336 BCE, i.e. in the 1st year (after his accession year) of Artaxerxes IV instead of the 1st year (considered as corresponding to his accession year as suggested in other cases by L. Depuydt, *JAOS*, vol. 115, 1995, p. 193-204) of Artaxerxes V, who would be the same person addressed in the text as Bayasa, i.e. Bessos. It could not be the 1st year of Artaxerxes IV counted after his accession year because in the month Kislev of that year Bessos/Artaxerxes V was already dead.
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